1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 RICHARD DENNIS ANDERSON, 10 11 Petitioner, No. CIV 08-2692 KJM P 12 VS. WARDEN MARTELL, 13 Respondent. 14 ORDER 15 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of 16 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, together with a request to proceed in forma 17 pauperis. This court will not rule on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis. 18 The application attacks a conviction issued by the San Francisco Superior Court. 19 20 While both this Court and the United States District Court in the district where petitioner was 21 convicted have jurisdiction, see Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484 (1973), any and all witnesses and evidence necessary for the resolution of petitioner's application are more 22 23 readily available in San Francisco County, which is located in the Northern District of California. <u>Id.</u> at 499 n.15; 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). 24 25 //// //// 26

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. This court has not ruled on petitioner's request to proceed in forma pauperis;
3	and
4	2. This matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern
5	District of California. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a).
6	DATED: January 16, 2009.
7 8	U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	2 ande2692.108
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	